DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY   Mr. Wallace/zl/274-7552
US ARMY FOREIGN SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY CENTER
220 SEVENTH STREET, NE.
CHARLOTTESVILLE, VIRGINIA 22901

DRXST-MT   19 January 1978

SUBJECT: Comments on Navy Postgraduate Proposal

Defense Intelligence Agency
ATTN: RPM-3 (L. Wright)
Washington, DC   20301

1.   Reference is made to your request by Optional Form 41, 29 Nov 77, for
comments on the Navy Postgraduate proposal for a graduate technical intel-
ligence program.

2.   Most Army engineers and scientists serving in intelligence positions
have dual registration in the Army Engineer and Scientist and the Intel-
ligence Career fields.   The engineer and scientist personnel are covered
by Army CPR 950-18, "Army Civilian Career Program for Engineers and Scientists."
Additionally, the various service schools provide training in specific disci-
plines and the Defense Intelligence School offers a master's degree program
in intelligence.   The proposed Navy program is of very limited application.

3.   Scientific and technical intelligence training for recently hired/newly
assigned personnel is being provided through the Defense Intelligence School
(DIS).   The DIS, in conjunction with the services, has developed the Scientific
and Technical Intelligence Analyst Introductory Course (STIAIC) for all
personnel entering the Scientific and Technical Intelligence field.   For
advanced academic training, this agency used regular and short courses at
universities.   For military personnel, the Army has the Intelligence School
at Fort Huachuca, AZ.

4.   The following addresses the specific questions in the reference:

     a.   "What do we have for the journeyman level?"   DOD 1430.10-M-3,
"DOD-Wide Civilian Career Program for General Intelligence Personnel" provides
for both intelligence training and advanced academic study (full-time or after
hours) for the journeyman level.

DRXST-MT   19 January 1978
SUBJECT: Comments on Navy Postgraduate Proposal

    b.   "Do we need a graduate level S&T program?" Engineer and scientists
(both civilian and military) enter on duty with engineering or scientific
degrees, many with advanced degrees.   The training they require is in intel-
ligence, not in engineering or scientific disciplines.

    c.   "What would be the value of such a program?" Can see no value for
the program since we already have the mechanization to obtain advanced
academic training for individuals in the specific areas in which they need
additional, or updated, academic training.

    d.   "Should there be modifications?" No modification is needed since
we do not need the Navy program. Engineering and scientific training is done
on an individual basis, not in large groups, since our personnel resources
in any given discipline are generally no more than one deep.

    e.   "What type support/utilization would you have for such a program?"
None.

FOR THE COMMANDER:

W. T. WALLACE
Intelligence Career Development
Program Manager

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD   22 January 1978

Mr. E. Russell, NISC, called to say he had discussed the Navy Post-
graudate proposal for a graduate technical intelligence program with
Mr. Hubbard, Technical Director at NISC. Their conclusion was that
Navy could not support/utilize the program as written in the draft
proposal. The two prohibitive factors are the excessive length of
the course and the location.

Some thoughts they had on changing the course were -

- Structure to a 10-12 months course

- Have certain portions presented as short courses

- Structure so that packages of different lengths can be presented
  at DIS.

LEONA M. WRIGHT
Intelligence Operations Specialist
ICDP Branch

ROUTING AND TRANSMITTAL SLIP

TO: (Name, office symbol, room number, building, Agency/Post)	Initials	Date
1.		
2.		
3.		
4.		
5.		

	Action		File		Note and Return
	Approval		For Clearance		Per Conversation
	As Requested		For Correction		Prepare Reply
	Circulate		For Your Information		See Me
	Comment		Investigate		Signature
	Coordination		Justify		

REMARKS

1. Based upon Mr. Martin's request at the November 1977 ICDP Planning Group Meeting, DIA, Army, Navy, and Air Force reviewed the Navy Postgraduate proposal for a graduate technical intelligence program. US Army Foreign Science Technology Center and Navy responses are attached. DIA, Air Force and US Army Missile Intelligence Agency responses were delivered to you on 23 December 1977.

2. The consensus is that the proposed program, as now written, would not be utilized by the DoD General Intelligence Community.

DO NOT use this form as a RECORD of approvals, concurrences, disposals, clearances, and similar actions

	Room No.—Bldg.
	Phone No.
	695-1171

OPTIONAL FORM 41 (Rev. 7-76)
Prescribed by GSA
FPMR (41 CFR) 101-11.206

GPO : 1977 O - 241-530 (3157)